From:	Anthony Moran <anthony@superiornw.com></anthony@superiornw.com>
Sent:	Thursday, September 29, 2022 8:01 PM
То:	Bree Medley
Subject:	Re: FW: FW: Tree Comments 2202-257 8480 85TH
	AVE SE

"Reduce weight on over-extended spars/limbs, remove deadwood larger than 2" caliper, correct conflicting elements. Also inspect break/topping point for presence of decay or evidence of weakened attachment."

Reach out to Seattle Tree Care, Blooma, and Out on a Limb and see if you can get quotes. Have them bid on caring for the #6 maple and the firs next to it while they are at it. Ideally they would bring in a Spyder lift so they can access the outer reaches of the tree(s) Cheers,

Anthony

On Fri, 30 Sep 2022 02:14:57 +0000, Bree Medley <<u>bree@brandtdesigninc.com</u>> wrote:

Hi Anthony – can you let me know when you can provide a pruning plan for tree 13? Our hope is to resubmit by 10/10. Let me know if that is possible.

Thanks so much

Bree Medley

The Brandt Design Group

66 Bell Street, Unit #1

Seattle, WA 98121

www.brandtdesigninc.com

206.239.0850 (o)

206.595.9357 (c)

From: John Kenney <<u>John.Kenney@mercergov.org</u>> Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2022 8:13 AM To: Bree Medley <<u>bree@brandtdesigninc.com</u>>; Anthony Moran <<u>anthony@superiornw.com</u>> Subject: RE: FW: Tree Comments 2202-257 8480 85TH AVE SE

Bree,

Thanks for working with Anthony on this. I think your response would be a good response to my comments. Please do so. The pruning plan sounds like a good idea for tree 13. Please include this new arborist information.

(Please include the City of Mercer Island project number in the subject line for all permit related correspondence)

John Kenney, ISA Municipal Specialist #PN-6601AM, Qualified Tree Risk Assessor City Arborist City of Mercer Island - Community Planning & Development 206.275.7713 | mercerisland.gov/trees

Schedule an inspection: Inspection Scheduling

Notice: Emails and attachments may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act (chapter 42.56 RCW)

From: Bree Medley <<u>bree@brandtdesigninc.com</u>> Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2022 12:45 PM To: John Kenney <<u>John.Kenney@mercergov.org</u>>; Anthony Moran <<u>anthony@superiornw.com</u>> Subject: FW: FW: Tree Comments 2202-257 8480 85TH AVE SE

Hi John,

I am working with Anthony Moran to get you the additional information requested. After reviewing this, he requested clarification of which trees you are looking to have further risk assessments done? I have outlined the trees as listed in the risk assessment provided in the report below to streamline the conversation:

The trees listed as medium to high risk were as follows: #3-5 (fir trees); #6 & 13 (maples) and #12 willow. The risks were based on limb failures:

#3-5 (firs) are listed as low risk due. Additional there is little to no work within their CRZ outside of the hand trenching that will be required for the new waterline located by public works that we discussed with Kevin Nguyen earlier and agreed would be an appropriate approach.

#6 Maple – Given the high risk listed in the report, we chose to remove and replace this tree. The arborist report also discussed the fact that the owners initial desire to remove the (E) retaining wall and revise the grade would prove catastrophic to this tree. Given the proximity of the tree to #4,5,7 we have kept the walls and grades as they currently exist to avoid possible risk to those trees.

#13 Maple – we included an addendum to the report (attached) with the original submittal that addressed the allowable work in this trees CRZ. Given that we have provided additional review and assessment of this tree with the initial submittal, will a pruning plan suffice?

#12 Willow – this tree is called out as a low risk tree and no work is proposed within the CRZ

The remaining trees outlined in the impact analysis that are remaining are #7, 8, 13, 15, 16.

#7 No work is proposed within the CRZ (this tree benefits from the same site and construction decisions made for trees #3-5

#8 This tree has the closest proximity to the new construction however we are implementing temporary shoring in lieu of over excavation so there is little to no land disturbance near the CRZ. Any utility work within the CRZ will be done by hand similar to how we will approach the water line as it relates to trees #3-5

#13,15,16 – these trees are outside of the area of work and we are not proposing work within their CRZ's.